

#### UNITED STATES DEPART ENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/435,3	77 05/05/95	CLERON		M	P1525/112007	
-		LM02/0105		EXAMINER		
CESARI A	ND MCKENNA	minomy or on		CALDWELL, P		
30 ROWES				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON M	A 02110	• •		2755	30	
				DATE MAILED:	01/05/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Application No. plicant(s) 08/435,377 CLERON ET AL. Office Action Summary **Examiner Art Unit** Pat Caldwell 2755 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 October 1999 . 1) 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claims \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some \* c) None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been: 1. received. 2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_. 3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e). Attachment(s)

14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

17) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

19) Other:

18) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2755

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to CPA that was filed 10/27/99. Claims1-20 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein—were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. §1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. §102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. §103.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Duggan et al (US 5 584 035) in view of Anderson et al (US 5537526)

As per independent claim 1, Duggan et al disclose the invention as claimed :

Art Unit: 2755

Duggan et al disclose an extensible and replaceable layered component computing arrangement for providing services directed to information available on computer networks (distributed object based system), operating system (12) [column 4, line 43] through column 5, line 2].

Duggan et al disclose a software component architecture layer (object software 24 in which objects are contained and the the contained information can be comprised of various formats, including text and images) coupled to an operating system and defining a plurality of computing components (container objects) [column 5, line 25 through column 6, line 4].

However, Duggan et al do not explicitly teach a network component layer for creating network navigation components configured to search and obtain information available on computer networks.

Anderson et all teach network navigation components configured to search and obtain information on the computer networks (suite of OpenDoc components with networking and communications capabilities including Internet browsing tools) [first page of enclosed copy of article].

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Duggan et al to include the retrieval functions as taught by Anderson to enable users to locate information locally and remotely and to embed this information directly into documents.

Art Unit: 2755

As per claim 2, Duggan et al do not explicitly disclose an computing arrangement wherein the network navigation components are objects and the network component layer comprises application programming interfaces delivered in the form of objects in a class hierarchy.

Anderson discloses an environment wherein the network navigation components are objects and the network component layer comprises application programming interfaces in a class hierarchy (user interfaces based upon a model hierarchy) [col. 8]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Duggan et al by implementing the application programming interfaces that are delivered in the form of objects in a class hierarchy because it would provide the system of Duggan et al with an improved capability of an interface for network communications.

As per **claim 3**, Duggan et al as modified by Anderson teach an application programming interface which includes a first class (frameworks for communication between documents [Anderson : cols. 17-19]].

As per **claim 4**, Duggan et al as modified by Anderson teach an application programming interface which includes a second class (framework for client-server interface) [Anderson :cols. 18-20].

As per claim 5, Duggan et al as modified by Anderson teach a means for spawning the

Art Unit: 2755

stream object (linking) [Duggan: column 8, line 50 through column 10, line 12].

### As per claim 7:

Refer to claim 1 for rejection and combination of references. Additionally, Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach a processor [Duggan: col. 12, line 20-21].

## As per claim 8:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach a means for embedding components having mixed data types and formats (accessing data embedded within various models) [Anderson : col. 4, line 11-61; col. 8, line 45-51].

### As per claim 9:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach application programming interfaces (windows interface software 14, windows software 22) [Duggan : column 4, lines 62-63; column 5, lines 21-24].

#### As per claim 10:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach means for constructing a network navigation component (object, icons) representing a resource available on the computer network [Duggan: column 5, lines 25-65; column 7, lines 3-7; column 11, lines 19-40].

## As per claim 11:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach network navigation component that

Art Unit: 2755

implements a protocol (protocols for accessing data embedded with object) [Anderson: col. 4, line 11-61; col. 9, line 8-22].

#### As per claim 12:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach network navigation components providing additional functionalities (supports seamless integration of various components which may be alien to each other [Anderson : col. 8, line 45-52].

## As per claim 13:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach a computing part having a viewing editor and data content (windows) [Duggan: column 6, line 23 through column 7, line 2].

#### As per claim 14:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach transferring files over networks (transferring remote information into a document) [Anderson: cols. 18-19].

## As per claim 15:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach a browsing component (linking mechanism) [Anderson: col. 4]

#### As per claim 17:

Duggan et al disclose controlling operations of a computer system with a operating system coupled to a software component architecture layer (object software 24) [column 5, line 25 through column column 6, line 4].

Art Unit: 2755

However, Duggan et al do not explicitly teach a network component layer for creating network navigation components configured and providing a network service.

Anderson discloses network component layer for creating a plurality of components and invoking a component to provide network service (frameworks for locally and remotely transferring data) [Anderson: cols. 17-20].

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Duggan et al to include the retrieval functions as taught by Anderson to enable users to access information from various locations across a network.

## As per claim 18:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach extending navigation components (linking from one resource to another) [Anderson : col. 4].

# As per claim 19:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach browsing [linking and retrieval of information from external documents) [Anderson : cols. 4, 18]

# As per claim 20:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach a platform for developing components for operation on a variety of hardware and software computer systems (framework for extending attributes to other models) [Anderson : cols. 8, 10].

Art Unit: 2755

## As per claim 6:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach a third class which can construct an network navigation object (links) representing additional behaviors provided to computer components [Anderson: cols. 4, 10].

# As per claim 16:

Duggan et al in combination with Anderson teach displaying text [Duggan : Figures 28 and 29] and displaying movies (video) [Anderson : col. 8].

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Monnard et al teach object-oriented linking of various platforms. Helm et al teach object-oriented browsing and retrieval. Jalalian (US 5548722) teach a user-centric approach for network functions. Nguyen (US 5481666) teach object-oriented navigation and embedding. Curbow et al (US 5669005) teach embedding information within containers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pat Caldwell whose telephone number is 703-305-3805. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEXTIME.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alvin Oberley can be reached on 703-305-9716. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2755

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-9051 for regular communications and 703-308-9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

PC

December 30, 1999.

ÄLVIN E. OBERLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**GROUP 2700**